Transcript of the Testimony of

WILLIAM WRIGHT, M.D. June 7, 2019

Craig Shipp

V

Correct Care Solutions, LLC, et al.

Sundae A. Stoa, FCRR, RPR

Sundae A. Stoa, FCRR, RPR Hansen and Company, Inc.

Registered Professional Reporters 1600 Broadway, Ste. 470 Denver, Colorado 80202 Phone (303) 691-0202 * Fax (303) 691-2444



	Page 69		Page 71
1	EXAMINATION	1	is that correct?
2	BY MS. MIDDLETON:	2	A. No, ma'am.
3	Q. Dr. Wright, can you hear me okay?	3	Q. No?
4	A. Yes, ma'am.	4	A. No, ma'am. It's the right foot.
5	Can you hear me all right?	5	Q. When he was admitted, he had the Charcot foot
6	Q. Okay. Yes, I can.	6	in the left foot or was it in the right?
7	I just kind of want you to explain I hear	7	A. No. He had an ulcer on the left foot, and no
8	you say something about it's the chain of command. Is	8	Charcot deformity on that side.
9	that what I'm hearing you had a problem with?	9	Q. Okay. Again, can you just, in your own
10	A. Yeah, I have a problem with that.	10	words, tell me what it is you're saying that Warden
11	Q. Okay. Is it do you have a problem with	11	Arnold did not do right.
12	them involving the warden in this decision?	12	A. I think that Mr. Arnold should have been
13	Is that what you're saying?	13	clear that this was a medical decision, and that he
14	A. Well, the thing that seemed peculiar was that	14	acted that way.
15	the warden would be involved in this in any case.	15	He said several times that this is a medical
16	He seemed to feel that he needed to have the	16	type of problem; and yet, he kept injecting himself
17	shoes sent to him, for instance, while that was really	17	into it. I think everybody in medical is convinced
18	not an appropriate thing to do.	18	that they had to clear things with the warden before
19	Certainly, security would be involved in	19	they could do anything.
20	checking the shoes when they got there. That's not the	20	MS. MIDDLETON: Hold on for just a second
21	warden's job, but it seemed like everybody in medical	21	here.
22	had the impression that they couldn't really do	22	Okay. I think that's all I have right now.
23	anything until the warden said it was okay.	23	MS. ODUM: All right.
24	Q. But then, did I hear you say that if the	24	MR. FRANSEEN: Okay. I do have some
25	shoes had something metal on them, that's a possible	25	questions.
	Page 70		Page 72
1	security problem?	1	EXAMINATION
2	A. Yes, that's a possible security problem.	2	BY MR. FRANSEEN:
3	Q. Okay. I also heard you say earlier that to	3	Q. When we talk about the testimony of Mr. Shipp
4	treat his Charcot foot he would need to offload the	4	and his sister on the chain of command of the
5	weight.	5	shoes chain of custody of the shoes, is it common
6	Is it on both feet or just the foot in	6	for a prisoner who has had property taken from them to
7	particular that had the Charcot foot?	7	be able to watch where that property went that entire
8	A. The foot that was affected by the Charcot	8	time?
9	deformity.	9	A. No.
10		10	
10	Q. Okay. So his orthotic shoes I'm not sure	10	Q. If the intake note indicates that a Crawford
11	if I'm the right person to ask you this question, but	11	County officer brought the shoes and they were returned
11 12	if I'm the right person to ask you this question, but I'm going to ask you this anyway:	11 12	County officer brought the shoes and they were returned with him, could that be something that happened outside
11 12 13	if I'm the right person to ask you this question, but I'm going to ask you this anyway: So were the shoes, the orthotic shoes, are	11 12 13	County officer brought the shoes and they were returned with him, could that be something that happened outside of the observations of Mr. Shipp?
11 12 13 14	if I'm the right person to ask you this question, but I'm going to ask you this anyway: So were the shoes, the orthotic shoes, are they offloading the weight on that left foot that had	11 12 13 14	County officer brought the shoes and they were returned with him, could that be something that happened outside of the observations of Mr. Shipp? A. Yes. Mr. Shipp would not be aware of that.
11 12 13 14 15	if I'm the right person to ask you this question, but I'm going to ask you this anyway: So were the shoes, the orthotic shoes, are they offloading the weight on that left foot that had the Charcot foot or both feet?	11 12 13 14 15	County officer brought the shoes and they were returned with him, could that be something that happened outside of the observations of Mr. Shipp? A. Yes. Mr. Shipp would not be aware of that. Q. And if the officer then returned back to
11 12 13 14 15 16	if I'm the right person to ask you this question, but I'm going to ask you this anyway: So were the shoes, the orthotic shoes, are they offloading the weight on that left foot that had the Charcot foot or both feet? A. Well, they are distributing the weight more	11 12 13 14 15 16	County officer brought the shoes and they were returned with him, could that be something that happened outside of the observations of Mr. Shipp? A. Yes. Mr. Shipp would not be aware of that. Q. And if the officer then returned back to Crawford County and the sister was then notified to
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	if I'm the right person to ask you this question, but I'm going to ask you this anyway: So were the shoes, the orthotic shoes, are they offloading the weight on that left foot that had the Charcot foot or both feet? A. Well, they are distributing the weight more evenly over the surface of the foot. In Charcot	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	County officer brought the shoes and they were returned with him, could that be something that happened outside of the observations of Mr. Shipp? A. Yes. Mr. Shipp would not be aware of that. Q. And if the officer then returned back to Crawford County and the sister was then notified to come pick up them, does that fit within that intake
11 12 13 14 15 16	if I'm the right person to ask you this question, but I'm going to ask you this anyway: So were the shoes, the orthotic shoes, are they offloading the weight on that left foot that had the Charcot foot or both feet? A. Well, they are distributing the weight more evenly over the surface of the foot. In Charcot deformity, the bones of the foot collapse basically,	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	County officer brought the shoes and they were returned with him, could that be something that happened outside of the observations of Mr. Shipp? A. Yes. Mr. Shipp would not be aware of that. Q. And if the officer then returned back to Crawford County and the sister was then notified to come pick up them, does that fit within that intake note that the shoes were returned to Crawford County by
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	if I'm the right person to ask you this question, but I'm going to ask you this anyway: So were the shoes, the orthotic shoes, are they offloading the weight on that left foot that had the Charcot foot or both feet? A. Well, they are distributing the weight more evenly over the surface of the foot. In Charcot	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	County officer brought the shoes and they were returned with him, could that be something that happened outside of the observations of Mr. Shipp? A. Yes. Mr. Shipp would not be aware of that. Q. And if the officer then returned back to Crawford County and the sister was then notified to come pick up them, does that fit within that intake note that the shoes were returned to Crawford County by the SWACCC?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	if I'm the right person to ask you this question, but I'm going to ask you this anyway: So were the shoes, the orthotic shoes, are they offloading the weight on that left foot that had the Charcot foot or both feet? A. Well, they are distributing the weight more evenly over the surface of the foot. In Charcot deformity, the bones of the foot collapse basically, and you often have a bone that's protruding under the	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	County officer brought the shoes and they were returned with him, could that be something that happened outside of the observations of Mr. Shipp? A. Yes. Mr. Shipp would not be aware of that. Q. And if the officer then returned back to Crawford County and the sister was then notified to come pick up them, does that fit within that intake note that the shoes were returned to Crawford County by the SWACCC? A. Yes.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	if I'm the right person to ask you this question, but I'm going to ask you this anyway: So were the shoes, the orthotic shoes, are they offloading the weight on that left foot that had the Charcot foot or both feet? A. Well, they are distributing the weight more evenly over the surface of the foot. In Charcot deformity, the bones of the foot collapse basically, and you often have a bone that's protruding under the skin, which would certainly ulcerate if you put weight	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	County officer brought the shoes and they were returned with him, could that be something that happened outside of the observations of Mr. Shipp? A. Yes. Mr. Shipp would not be aware of that. Q. And if the officer then returned back to Crawford County and the sister was then notified to come pick up them, does that fit within that intake note that the shoes were returned to Crawford County by the SWACCC?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	if I'm the right person to ask you this question, but I'm going to ask you this anyway: So were the shoes, the orthotic shoes, are they offloading the weight on that left foot that had the Charcot foot or both feet? A. Well, they are distributing the weight more evenly over the surface of the foot. In Charcot deformity, the bones of the foot collapse basically, and you often have a bone that's protruding under the skin, which would certainly ulcerate if you put weight on it for any length of time. And then you'd also have osteomyelitis, and so forth. So that's it, you don't want to have weight	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	County officer brought the shoes and they were returned with him, could that be something that happened outside of the observations of Mr. Shipp? A. Yes. Mr. Shipp would not be aware of that. Q. And if the officer then returned back to Crawford County and the sister was then notified to come pick up them, does that fit within that intake note that the shoes were returned to Crawford County by the SWACCC? A. Yes. Q. And, I guess, regardless of whether Crawford
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	if I'm the right person to ask you this question, but I'm going to ask you this anyway: So were the shoes, the orthotic shoes, are they offloading the weight on that left foot that had the Charcot foot or both feet? A. Well, they are distributing the weight more evenly over the surface of the foot. In Charcot deformity, the bones of the foot collapse basically, and you often have a bone that's protruding under the skin, which would certainly ulcerate if you put weight on it for any length of time. And then you'd also have osteomyelitis, and	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	County officer brought the shoes and they were returned with him, could that be something that happened outside of the observations of Mr. Shipp? A. Yes. Mr. Shipp would not be aware of that. Q. And if the officer then returned back to Crawford County and the sister was then notified to come pick up them, does that fit within that intake note that the shoes were returned to Crawford County by the SWACCC? A. Yes. Q. And, I guess, regardless of whether Crawford County said, Hey, we're going to keep these shoes, or

	Page 73		Page 75
1	A. Yes.	1	case, to keep the weight off that foot.
2	Q. The warden didn't notify the H.S.A. on the	2	Q. And by failing to offload the foot, was that
3	1st to get these shoes approved by medical?	3	a violation of the standard of care for Dr. Lemdja?
4	A. No.	4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Mr. Shipp notified the intake nurse the	5	Q. Did Dr. Lemdja notify the H.S.A.?
6	C.C.S. employee intake nurse on the 1st about the need	6	A. No.
7	for his shoes?	7	Q. In fact, did Dr. Lemdja refuse to document
8	A. I'm sorry?	8	her encounter due to the liability according to an
9	Q. On the 1st, Mr. Shipp notified the intake	9	email?
10	nurse who is an employee of C.C.S. about the need for	10	A. That's correct.
11	the shoes?	11	Q. C.C.S. should have trained their employees
12	A. Yes.	12	when a medical device is needed for an inmate to notify
13	Q. Did that employee of C.C.S. notify the H.S.A.	13	the H.S.A. or warden?
14	director?	14	A. The proper procedure would be to notify the
15	A. No.	15	physician or nurse practitioner at first, and not just
16	Q. Did that nurse notify any medical	16	the nurses. And they would take the issue to the
17	providers doctors?	17	H.S.A. right away.
18	A. No.	18	Q. And that didn't occur in this instance, did
19	Q. Are they critical of whether Mr. Shipp	19	it?
20	submitted daily medical sick calls is that kind of	20	A. No.
21	your impression based on the questioning?	21	Q. Same question on the 9th for Dr. Lemdja: Did
22	A. My impression was that Mr. Shipp talked to	22	she notify the H.S.A.?
23	the nurses on a frequent basis, but did not submit	23	A. No.
24	written kites on a regular basis.	24	Q. Did she provide any sufficient offloading for
25	Q. Whether you submit to medical in person or	25	Mr. Shipp?
	C I Junior I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		PP.
		_	
	Page 74		Page 76
1	_	1	_
1 2	through a written record, should it change the standard	1 2	A. No.
2	through a written record, should it change the standard of care that the nurse or the doctor how they treat	2	A. No.Q. The warden testified that he received phone
2 3	through a written record, should it change the standard of care that the nurse or the doctor how they treat the known issues with that inmate?	2 3	A. No. Q. The warden testified that he received phone calls from Mr. Shipp's family.
2 3 4	through a written record, should it change the standard of care that the nurse or the doctor how they treat the known issues with that inmate? A. No.	2 3 4	A. No. Q. The warden testified that he received phone calls from Mr. Shipp's family. Did he provide any testimony that he told the
2 3 4 5	through a written record, should it change the standard of care that the nurse or the doctor how they treat the known issues with that inmate? A. No. Q. Dr. Lemdja on the 5th knew about Mr. Shipp's	2 3 4 5	A. No. Q. The warden testified that he received phone calls from Mr. Shipp's family. Did he provide any testimony that he told the family, Here is the H.S.A. person you need to call,
2 3 4	through a written record, should it change the standard of care that the nurse or the doctor how they treat the known issues with that inmate? A. No.	2 3 4	A. No. Q. The warden testified that he received phone calls from Mr. Shipp's family. Did he provide any testimony that he told the
2 3 4 5 6	through a written record, should it change the standard of care that the nurse or the doctor how they treat the known issues with that inmate? A. No. Q. Dr. Lemdja on the 5th knew about Mr. Shipp's need for his orthotic shoes? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. No. Q. The warden testified that he received phone calls from Mr. Shipp's family. Did he provide any testimony that he told the family, Here is the H.S.A. person you need to call, this is their name, this is their number? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7	through a written record, should it change the standard of care that the nurse or the doctor how they treat the known issues with that inmate? A. No. Q. Dr. Lemdja on the 5th knew about Mr. Shipp's need for his orthotic shoes? A. Yes. Q. Did she write any orders that sufficiently	2 3 4 5 6	A. No. Q. The warden testified that he received phone calls from Mr. Shipp's family. Did he provide any testimony that he told the family, Here is the H.S.A. person you need to call, this is their name, this is their number? A. No. Q. Would that have been the appropriate step for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	through a written record, should it change the standard of care that the nurse or the doctor how they treat the known issues with that inmate? A. No. Q. Dr. Lemdja on the 5th knew about Mr. Shipp's need for his orthotic shoes? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. No. Q. The warden testified that he received phone calls from Mr. Shipp's family. Did he provide any testimony that he told the family, Here is the H.S.A. person you need to call, this is their name, this is their number? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	through a written record, should it change the standard of care that the nurse or the doctor how they treat the known issues with that inmate? A. No. Q. Dr. Lemdja on the 5th knew about Mr. Shipp's need for his orthotic shoes? A. Yes. Q. Did she write any orders that sufficiently offloaded his feet?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. No. Q. The warden testified that he received phone calls from Mr. Shipp's family. Did he provide any testimony that he told the family, Here is the H.S.A. person you need to call, this is their name, this is their number? A. No. Q. Would that have been the appropriate step for him if that's what he believed needed to occur on that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	through a written record, should it change the standard of care that the nurse or the doctor how they treat the known issues with that inmate? A. No. Q. Dr. Lemdja on the 5th knew about Mr. Shipp's need for his orthotic shoes? A. Yes. Q. Did she write any orders that sufficiently offloaded his feet? A. She didn't write let's see.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. No. Q. The warden testified that he received phone calls from Mr. Shipp's family. Did he provide any testimony that he told the family, Here is the H.S.A. person you need to call, this is their name, this is their number? A. No. Q. Would that have been the appropriate step for him if that's what he believed needed to occur on that date? A. I believe that would be the appropriate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	through a written record, should it change the standard of care that the nurse or the doctor how they treat the known issues with that inmate? A. No. Q. Dr. Lemdja on the 5th knew about Mr. Shipp's need for his orthotic shoes? A. Yes. Q. Did she write any orders that sufficiently offloaded his feet? A. She didn't write let's see. Everything in the record was from the nurse's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. No. Q. The warden testified that he received phone calls from Mr. Shipp's family. Did he provide any testimony that he told the family, Here is the H.S.A. person you need to call, this is their name, this is their number? A. No. Q. Would that have been the appropriate step for him if that's what he believed needed to occur on that date?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	through a written record, should it change the standard of care that the nurse or the doctor how they treat the known issues with that inmate? A. No. Q. Dr. Lemdja on the 5th knew about Mr. Shipp's need for his orthotic shoes? A. Yes. Q. Did she write any orders that sufficiently offloaded his feet? A. She didn't write let's see. Everything in the record was from the nurse's note that was working with Dr. Lemdja. She prescribed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. No. Q. The warden testified that he received phone calls from Mr. Shipp's family. Did he provide any testimony that he told the family, Here is the H.S.A. person you need to call, this is their name, this is their number? A. No. Q. Would that have been the appropriate step for him if that's what he believed needed to occur on that date? A. I believe that would be the appropriate thing, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	through a written record, should it change the standard of care that the nurse or the doctor how they treat the known issues with that inmate? A. No. Q. Dr. Lemdja on the 5th knew about Mr. Shipp's need for his orthotic shoes? A. Yes. Q. Did she write any orders that sufficiently offloaded his feet? A. She didn't write let's see. Everything in the record was from the nurse's note that was working with Dr. Lemdja. She prescribed the antibiotic, but there was no effort to obtain	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. No. Q. The warden testified that he received phone calls from Mr. Shipp's family. Did he provide any testimony that he told the family, Here is the H.S.A. person you need to call, this is their name, this is their number? A. No. Q. Would that have been the appropriate step for him if that's what he believed needed to occur on that date? A. I believe that would be the appropriate thing, yes. Q. Same question for when Mr. Shipp wrote him on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	through a written record, should it change the standard of care that the nurse or the doctor how they treat the known issues with that inmate? A. No. Q. Dr. Lemdja on the 5th knew about Mr. Shipp's need for his orthotic shoes? A. Yes. Q. Did she write any orders that sufficiently offloaded his feet? A. She didn't write let's see. Everything in the record was from the nurse's note that was working with Dr. Lemdja. She prescribed the antibiotic, but there was no effort to obtain orthotic shoes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. No. Q. The warden testified that he received phone calls from Mr. Shipp's family. Did he provide any testimony that he told the family, Here is the H.S.A. person you need to call, this is their name, this is their number? A. No. Q. Would that have been the appropriate step for him if that's what he believed needed to occur on that date? A. I believe that would be the appropriate thing, yes. Q. Same question for when Mr. Shipp wrote him on the 1st about the need for his orthotics: Should he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	through a written record, should it change the standard of care that the nurse or the doctor how they treat the known issues with that inmate? A. No. Q. Dr. Lemdja on the 5th knew about Mr. Shipp's need for his orthotic shoes? A. Yes. Q. Did she write any orders that sufficiently offloaded his feet? A. She didn't write let's see. Everything in the record was from the nurse's note that was working with Dr. Lemdja. She prescribed the antibiotic, but there was no effort to obtain orthotic shoes. Q. In your opinion, would padding be sufficient	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. No. Q. The warden testified that he received phone calls from Mr. Shipp's family. Did he provide any testimony that he told the family, Here is the H.S.A. person you need to call, this is their name, this is their number? A. No. Q. Would that have been the appropriate step for him if that's what he believed needed to occur on that date? A. I believe that would be the appropriate thing, yes. Q. Same question for when Mr. Shipp wrote him on the 1st about the need for his orthotics: Should he have notified the H.S.A. about getting this approved?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	through a written record, should it change the standard of care that the nurse or the doctor how they treat the known issues with that inmate? A. No. Q. Dr. Lemdja on the 5th knew about Mr. Shipp's need for his orthotic shoes? A. Yes. Q. Did she write any orders that sufficiently offloaded his feet? A. She didn't write let's see. Everything in the record was from the nurse's note that was working with Dr. Lemdja. She prescribed the antibiotic, but there was no effort to obtain orthotic shoes. Q. In your opinion, would padding be sufficient to offload Mr. Shipp's Charcot condition?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. No. Q. The warden testified that he received phone calls from Mr. Shipp's family. Did he provide any testimony that he told the family, Here is the H.S.A. person you need to call, this is their name, this is their number? A. No. Q. Would that have been the appropriate step for him if that's what he believed needed to occur on that date? A. I believe that would be the appropriate thing, yes. Q. Same question for when Mr. Shipp wrote him on the 1st about the need for his orthotics: Should he have notified the H.S.A. about getting this approved? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	through a written record, should it change the standard of care that the nurse or the doctor how they treat the known issues with that inmate? A. No. Q. Dr. Lemdja on the 5th knew about Mr. Shipp's need for his orthotic shoes? A. Yes. Q. Did she write any orders that sufficiently offloaded his feet? A. She didn't write let's see. Everything in the record was from the nurse's note that was working with Dr. Lemdja. She prescribed the antibiotic, but there was no effort to obtain orthotic shoes. Q. In your opinion, would padding be sufficient to offload Mr. Shipp's Charcot condition? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. No. Q. The warden testified that he received phone calls from Mr. Shipp's family. Did he provide any testimony that he told the family, Here is the H.S.A. person you need to call, this is their name, this is their number? A. No. Q. Would that have been the appropriate step for him if that's what he believed needed to occur on that date? A. I believe that would be the appropriate thing, yes. Q. Same question for when Mr. Shipp wrote him on the 1st about the need for his orthotics: Should he have notified the H.S.A. about getting this approved? A. Yes. Q. Was there anything preventing the warden or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	through a written record, should it change the standard of care that the nurse or the doctor how they treat the known issues with that inmate? A. No. Q. Dr. Lemdja on the 5th knew about Mr. Shipp's need for his orthotic shoes? A. Yes. Q. Did she write any orders that sufficiently offloaded his feet? A. She didn't write let's see. Everything in the record was from the nurse's note that was working with Dr. Lemdja. She prescribed the antibiotic, but there was no effort to obtain orthotic shoes. Q. In your opinion, would padding be sufficient to offload Mr. Shipp's Charcot condition? A. No. Q. What should she have done if he could not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. No. Q. The warden testified that he received phone calls from Mr. Shipp's family. Did he provide any testimony that he told the family, Here is the H.S.A. person you need to call, this is their name, this is their number? A. No. Q. Would that have been the appropriate step for him if that's what he believed needed to occur on that date? A. I believe that would be the appropriate thing, yes. Q. Same question for when Mr. Shipp wrote him on the 1st about the need for his orthotics: Should he have notified the H.S.A. about getting this approved? A. Yes. Q. Was there anything preventing the warden or the H.S.A. from contacting a doctor and asking them
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	through a written record, should it change the standard of care that the nurse or the doctor how they treat the known issues with that inmate? A. No. Q. Dr. Lemdja on the 5th knew about Mr. Shipp's need for his orthotic shoes? A. Yes. Q. Did she write any orders that sufficiently offloaded his feet? A. She didn't write let's see. Everything in the record was from the nurse's note that was working with Dr. Lemdja. She prescribed the antibiotic, but there was no effort to obtain orthotic shoes. Q. In your opinion, would padding be sufficient to offload Mr. Shipp's Charcot condition? A. No. Q. What should she have done if he could not have the orthotics that day until the time period that he needed his orthotics? A. Well, you can offload the the foot by, for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. No. Q. The warden testified that he received phone calls from Mr. Shipp's family. Did he provide any testimony that he told the family, Here is the H.S.A. person you need to call, this is their name, this is their number? A. No. Q. Would that have been the appropriate step for him if that's what he believed needed to occur on that date? A. I believe that would be the appropriate thing, yes. Q. Same question for when Mr. Shipp wrote him on the 1st about the need for his orthotics: Should he have notified the H.S.A. about getting this approved? A. Yes. Q. Was there anything preventing the warden or the H.S.A. from contacting a doctor and asking them directly on the 1st, when they knew about this need, should this be approved or not? MS. ODUM: Object to form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	through a written record, should it change the standard of care that the nurse or the doctor how they treat the known issues with that inmate? A. No. Q. Dr. Lemdja on the 5th knew about Mr. Shipp's need for his orthotic shoes? A. Yes. Q. Did she write any orders that sufficiently offloaded his feet? A. She didn't write let's see. Everything in the record was from the nurse's note that was working with Dr. Lemdja. She prescribed the antibiotic, but there was no effort to obtain orthotic shoes. Q. In your opinion, would padding be sufficient to offload Mr. Shipp's Charcot condition? A. No. Q. What should she have done if he could not have the orthotics that day until the time period that he needed his orthotics?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. No. Q. The warden testified that he received phone calls from Mr. Shipp's family. Did he provide any testimony that he told the family, Here is the H.S.A. person you need to call, this is their name, this is their number? A. No. Q. Would that have been the appropriate step for him if that's what he believed needed to occur on that date? A. I believe that would be the appropriate thing, yes. Q. Same question for when Mr. Shipp wrote him on the 1st about the need for his orthotics: Should he have notified the H.S.A. about getting this approved? A. Yes. Q. Was there anything preventing the warden or the H.S.A. from contacting a doctor and asking them directly on the 1st, when they knew about this need, should this be approved or not? MS. ODUM: Object to form. A. That would typically be a function of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	through a written record, should it change the standard of care that the nurse or the doctor how they treat the known issues with that inmate? A. No. Q. Dr. Lemdja on the 5th knew about Mr. Shipp's need for his orthotic shoes? A. Yes. Q. Did she write any orders that sufficiently offloaded his feet? A. She didn't write let's see. Everything in the record was from the nurse's note that was working with Dr. Lemdja. She prescribed the antibiotic, but there was no effort to obtain orthotic shoes. Q. In your opinion, would padding be sufficient to offload Mr. Shipp's Charcot condition? A. No. Q. What should she have done if he could not have the orthotics that day until the time period that he needed his orthotics? A. Well, you can offload the the foot by, for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. No. Q. The warden testified that he received phone calls from Mr. Shipp's family. Did he provide any testimony that he told the family, Here is the H.S.A. person you need to call, this is their name, this is their number? A. No. Q. Would that have been the appropriate step for him if that's what he believed needed to occur on that date? A. I believe that would be the appropriate thing, yes. Q. Same question for when Mr. Shipp wrote him on the 1st about the need for his orthotics: Should he have notified the H.S.A. about getting this approved? A. Yes. Q. Was there anything preventing the warden or the H.S.A. from contacting a doctor and asking them directly on the 1st, when they knew about this need, should this be approved or not? MS. ODUM: Object to form. A. That would typically be a function of the H.S.A. The H.S.A. has the job of administrating the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	through a written record, should it change the standard of care that the nurse or the doctor how they treat the known issues with that inmate? A. No. Q. Dr. Lemdja on the 5th knew about Mr. Shipp's need for his orthotic shoes? A. Yes. Q. Did she write any orders that sufficiently offloaded his feet? A. She didn't write let's see. Everything in the record was from the nurse's note that was working with Dr. Lemdja. She prescribed the antibiotic, but there was no effort to obtain orthotic shoes. Q. In your opinion, would padding be sufficient to offload Mr. Shipp's Charcot condition? A. No. Q. What should she have done if he could not have the orthotics that day until the time period that he needed his orthotics? A. Well, you can offload the the foot by, for instance, using a crutch and keeping that foot up off the ground. You could use a wheelchair. That's usually	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. No. Q. The warden testified that he received phone calls from Mr. Shipp's family. Did he provide any testimony that he told the family, Here is the H.S.A. person you need to call, this is their name, this is their number? A. No. Q. Would that have been the appropriate step for him if that's what he believed needed to occur on that date? A. I believe that would be the appropriate thing, yes. Q. Same question for when Mr. Shipp wrote him on the 1st about the need for his orthotics: Should he have notified the H.S.A. about getting this approved? A. Yes. Q. Was there anything preventing the warden or the H.S.A. from contacting a doctor and asking them directly on the 1st, when they knew about this need, should this be approved or not? MS. ODUM: Object to form. A. That would typically be a function of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	through a written record, should it change the standard of care that the nurse or the doctor how they treat the known issues with that inmate? A. No. Q. Dr. Lemdja on the 5th knew about Mr. Shipp's need for his orthotic shoes? A. Yes. Q. Did she write any orders that sufficiently offloaded his feet? A. She didn't write let's see. Everything in the record was from the nurse's note that was working with Dr. Lemdja. She prescribed the antibiotic, but there was no effort to obtain orthotic shoes. Q. In your opinion, would padding be sufficient to offload Mr. Shipp's Charcot condition? A. No. Q. What should she have done if he could not have the orthotics that day until the time period that he needed his orthotics? A. Well, you can offload the the foot by, for instance, using a crutch and keeping that foot up off the ground.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. No. Q. The warden testified that he received phone calls from Mr. Shipp's family. Did he provide any testimony that he told the family, Here is the H.S.A. person you need to call, this is their name, this is their number? A. No. Q. Would that have been the appropriate step for him if that's what he believed needed to occur on that date? A. I believe that would be the appropriate thing, yes. Q. Same question for when Mr. Shipp wrote him on the 1st about the need for his orthotics: Should he have notified the H.S.A. about getting this approved? A. Yes. Q. Was there anything preventing the warden or the H.S.A. from contacting a doctor and asking them directly on the 1st, when they knew about this need, should this be approved or not? MS. ODUM: Object to form. A. That would typically be a function of the H.S.A. The H.S.A. has the job of administrating the

	Page 77		Page 79
1	entire prison or jail, so the warden could certainly	1	The intake note would have
2	pass it along, but it's really not his job to do that.	2	Q. No, it's not an intake, it's in his request.
3	If he's concerned about something maybe being neglected	3	He sent an inmate request to the warden on February 1st
4	in his facility, he would certainly notify the H.S.A.	4	right when he right after intake.
5	right away.	5	And I thought I heard you say that he should
6	Q. (BY MR. FRANSEEN) If a request comes to him	6	have sent that to the H.S.A.?
7	about a medical device, is it his duty to notify the	7	A. Well, that would be the person to send it to,
8	H.S.A.?	8	but let's see.
9	A. Yes.	9	Is this on the 1st? Yes.
10	Q. On the 12th, there was a note that says:	10	MS. MIDDLETON: Michelle, do you have a copy
11	Feet were getting worse while he awaits for all the	11	of that? I thought you put that into evidence.
12	necessary paperwork to be cleared?	12	MS. ODUM: I put the we referenced the
13	A. Yes.	13	February 12th one.
14	Q. Is that the paperwork that Dr. Lomax was the	14	MR. FRANSEEN: I provided him with a copy of
15	first person to initiate on the 16th?	15	the one on the 1st.
16	A. That's correct.	16	MS. MIDDLETON: Okay.
17	Q. And that is fifteen days after Mr. Shipp gets	17	A. Yes, that's correct.
18	into the facility and notifies both medical and the	18	Q. (BY MS. MIDDLETON) Okay. So you say he
19	warden that he does not have his orthotics?	19	erred by telling Shipp to go to medical?
20	A. Yes.	20	A. No, ma'am. He erred by not doing anything to
21	Q. During that time period, he not only develops	21	take care of this.
22	sores on his left foot, which was evaluated on the 5th,	22	Q. Well, did he not refer Mr. Shipp that he
23	but also develops sores on his right foot?	23	needed to see medical?
24	A. Yes, he had at that time.	24	A. I don't know that.
25	Q. Is it your opinion that had the facility	25	Q. Look at the bottom of that request. What's
	Page 78		Page 80
1	either properly offloaded his foot feet on the 1st,	l .	
		1 1	the response?
2		$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	the response? A He noted: Go see medical
2	or provided the appropriate documentation that they	2	A. He noted: Go see medical.
3	or provided the appropriate documentation that they required on the 1st, that these sores would not have	2 3	A. He noted: Go see medical.Q. Okay. So you're saying that was the wrong
3 4	or provided the appropriate documentation that they required on the 1st, that these sores would not have formed?	2 3 4	A. He noted: Go see medical. Q. Okay. So you're saying that was the wrong response?
3 4 5	or provided the appropriate documentation that they required on the 1st, that these sores would not have formed? A. You certainly can't predict that something is	2 3 4 5	A. He noted: Go see medical.Q. Okay. So you're saying that was the wrong response?A. No, that's not the wrong response.
3 4 5 6	or provided the appropriate documentation that they required on the 1st, that these sores would not have formed? A. You certainly can't predict that something is or isn't going to happen medically, but by not doing	2 3 4 5 6	 A. He noted: Go see medical. Q. Okay. So you're saying that was the wrong response? A. No, that's not the wrong response. The wrong response is to be aware of this
3 4 5 6 7	or provided the appropriate documentation that they required on the 1st, that these sores would not have formed? A. You certainly can't predict that something is or isn't going to happen medically, but by not doing it, you're pretty much tipping the scales towards him	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. He noted: Go see medical. Q. Okay. So you're saying that was the wrong response? A. No, that's not the wrong response. The wrong response is to be aware of this problem in the first place and not be sure that you
3 4 5 6	or provided the appropriate documentation that they required on the 1st, that these sores would not have formed? A. You certainly can't predict that something is or isn't going to happen medically, but by not doing it, you're pretty much tipping the scales towards him developing an ulcer in a deformed foot and the	2 3 4 5 6	 A. He noted: Go see medical. Q. Okay. So you're saying that was the wrong response? A. No, that's not the wrong response. The wrong response is to be aware of this problem in the first place and not be sure that you need to be sure that the procedure was that things
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	or provided the appropriate documentation that they required on the 1st, that these sores would not have formed? A. You certainly can't predict that something is or isn't going to happen medically, but by not doing it, you're pretty much tipping the scales towards him developing an ulcer in a deformed foot and the complications that go along with that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. He noted: Go see medical. Q. Okay. So you're saying that was the wrong response? A. No, that's not the wrong response. The wrong response is to be aware of this problem in the first place and not be sure that you need to be sure that the procedure was that things were progressing, rather than everybody just saying, Oh
3 4 5 6 7 8	or provided the appropriate documentation that they required on the 1st, that these sores would not have formed? A. You certainly can't predict that something is or isn't going to happen medically, but by not doing it, you're pretty much tipping the scales towards him developing an ulcer in a deformed foot and the complications that go along with that. Q. Within a degree of medical certainty, is that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. He noted: Go see medical. Q. Okay. So you're saying that was the wrong response? A. No, that's not the wrong response. The wrong response is to be aware of this problem in the first place and not be sure that you need to be sure that the procedure was that things were progressing, rather than everybody just saying, Oh my God, he's got a Charcot foot, we ought to do
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	or provided the appropriate documentation that they required on the 1st, that these sores would not have formed? A. You certainly can't predict that something is or isn't going to happen medically, but by not doing it, you're pretty much tipping the scales towards him developing an ulcer in a deformed foot and the complications that go along with that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. He noted: Go see medical. Q. Okay. So you're saying that was the wrong response? A. No, that's not the wrong response. The wrong response is to be aware of this problem in the first place and not be sure that you need to be sure that the procedure was that things were progressing, rather than everybody just saying, Oh
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	or provided the appropriate documentation that they required on the 1st, that these sores would not have formed? A. You certainly can't predict that something is or isn't going to happen medically, but by not doing it, you're pretty much tipping the scales towards him developing an ulcer in a deformed foot and the complications that go along with that. Q. Within a degree of medical certainty, is that what happened in this case?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. He noted: Go see medical. Q. Okay. So you're saying that was the wrong response? A. No, that's not the wrong response. The wrong response is to be aware of this problem in the first place and not be sure that you need to be sure that the procedure was that things were progressing, rather than everybody just saying, Oh my God, he's got a Charcot foot, we ought to do something about that. But apparently, nobody did. Q. So you understand that Mr. Arnold does not
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	or provided the appropriate documentation that they required on the 1st, that these sores would not have formed? A. You certainly can't predict that something is or isn't going to happen medically, but by not doing it, you're pretty much tipping the scales towards him developing an ulcer in a deformed foot and the complications that go along with that. Q. Within a degree of medical certainty, is that what happened in this case? A. Yes. MR. FRANSEEN: I'll pass the witness.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. He noted: Go see medical. Q. Okay. So you're saying that was the wrong response? A. No, that's not the wrong response. The wrong response is to be aware of this problem in the first place and not be sure that you need to be sure that the procedure was that things were progressing, rather than everybody just saying, Oh my God, he's got a Charcot foot, we ought to do something about that. But apparently, nobody did.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	or provided the appropriate documentation that they required on the 1st, that these sores would not have formed? A. You certainly can't predict that something is or isn't going to happen medically, but by not doing it, you're pretty much tipping the scales towards him developing an ulcer in a deformed foot and the complications that go along with that. Q. Within a degree of medical certainty, is that what happened in this case? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. He noted: Go see medical. Q. Okay. So you're saying that was the wrong response? A. No, that's not the wrong response. The wrong response is to be aware of this problem in the first place and not be sure that you need to be sure that the procedure was that things were progressing, rather than everybody just saying, Oh my God, he's got a Charcot foot, we ought to do something about that. But apparently, nobody did. Q. So you understand that Mr. Arnold does not have any medical experience, correct?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	or provided the appropriate documentation that they required on the 1st, that these sores would not have formed? A. You certainly can't predict that something is or isn't going to happen medically, but by not doing it, you're pretty much tipping the scales towards him developing an ulcer in a deformed foot and the complications that go along with that. Q. Within a degree of medical certainty, is that what happened in this case? A. Yes. MR. FRANSEEN: I'll pass the witness. MS. ODUM: Do you have any follow-up?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. He noted: Go see medical. Q. Okay. So you're saying that was the wrong response? A. No, that's not the wrong response. The wrong response is to be aware of this problem in the first place and not be sure that you need to be sure that the procedure was that things were progressing, rather than everybody just saying, Oh my God, he's got a Charcot foot, we ought to do something about that. But apparently, nobody did. Q. So you understand that Mr. Arnold does not have any medical experience, correct? A. That's true.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	or provided the appropriate documentation that they required on the 1st, that these sores would not have formed? A. You certainly can't predict that something is or isn't going to happen medically, but by not doing it, you're pretty much tipping the scales towards him developing an ulcer in a deformed foot and the complications that go along with that. Q. Within a degree of medical certainty, is that what happened in this case? A. Yes. MR. FRANSEEN: I'll pass the witness. MS. ODUM: Do you have any follow-up? MS. MIDDLETON: Yeah, I do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. He noted: Go see medical. Q. Okay. So you're saying that was the wrong response? A. No, that's not the wrong response. The wrong response is to be aware of this problem in the first place and not be sure that you need to be sure that the procedure was that things were progressing, rather than everybody just saying, Oh my God, he's got a Charcot foot, we ought to do something about that. But apparently, nobody did. Q. So you understand that Mr. Arnold does not have any medical experience, correct? A. That's true. Q. So he is to second guess his medical staff?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	or provided the appropriate documentation that they required on the 1st, that these sores would not have formed? A. You certainly can't predict that something is or isn't going to happen medically, but by not doing it, you're pretty much tipping the scales towards him developing an ulcer in a deformed foot and the complications that go along with that. Q. Within a degree of medical certainty, is that what happened in this case? A. Yes. MR. FRANSEEN: I'll pass the witness. MS. ODUM: Do you have any follow-up? MS. MIDDLETON: Yeah, I do. MS. ODUM: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. He noted: Go see medical. Q. Okay. So you're saying that was the wrong response? A. No, that's not the wrong response. The wrong response is to be aware of this problem in the first place and not be sure that you need to be sure that the procedure was that things were progressing, rather than everybody just saying, Oh my God, he's got a Charcot foot, we ought to do something about that. But apparently, nobody did. Q. So you understand that Mr. Arnold does not have any medical experience, correct? A. That's true. Q. So he is to second guess his medical staff? A. No, ma'am.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	or provided the appropriate documentation that they required on the 1st, that these sores would not have formed? A. You certainly can't predict that something is or isn't going to happen medically, but by not doing it, you're pretty much tipping the scales towards him developing an ulcer in a deformed foot and the complications that go along with that. Q. Within a degree of medical certainty, is that what happened in this case? A. Yes. MR. FRANSEEN: I'll pass the witness. MS. ODUM: Do you have any follow-up? MS. MIDDLETON: Yeah, I do. MS. ODUM: Okay. EXAMINATION BY MS. MIDDLETON: Q. I heard you say on the 1st that the warden	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. He noted: Go see medical. Q. Okay. So you're saying that was the wrong response? A. No, that's not the wrong response. The wrong response is to be aware of this problem in the first place and not be sure that you need to be sure that the procedure was that things were progressing, rather than everybody just saying, Oh my God, he's got a Charcot foot, we ought to do something about that. But apparently, nobody did. Q. So you understand that Mr. Arnold does not have any medical experience, correct? A. That's true. Q. So he is to second guess his medical staff? A. No, ma'am. MS. MIDDLETON: Michelle, that's all I have. MS. ODUM: I don't have any more. EXAMINATION
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	or provided the appropriate documentation that they required on the 1st, that these sores would not have formed? A. You certainly can't predict that something is or isn't going to happen medically, but by not doing it, you're pretty much tipping the scales towards him developing an ulcer in a deformed foot and the complications that go along with that. Q. Within a degree of medical certainty, is that what happened in this case? A. Yes. MR. FRANSEEN: I'll pass the witness. MS. ODUM: Do you have any follow-up? MS. MIDDLETON: Yeah, I do. MS. ODUM: Okay. EXAMINATION BY MS. MIDDLETON: Q. I heard you say on the 1st that the warden should when he received the request from Mr. Shipp	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. He noted: Go see medical. Q. Okay. So you're saying that was the wrong response? A. No, that's not the wrong response. The wrong response is to be aware of this problem in the first place and not be sure that you need to be sure that the procedure was that things were progressing, rather than everybody just saying, Oh my God, he's got a Charcot foot, we ought to do something about that. But apparently, nobody did. Q. So you understand that Mr. Arnold does not have any medical experience, correct? A. That's true. Q. So he is to second guess his medical staff? A. No, ma'am. MS. MIDDLETON: Michelle, that's all I have. MS. ODUM: I don't have any more. EXAMINATION BY MR. FRANSEEN:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	or provided the appropriate documentation that they required on the 1st, that these sores would not have formed? A. You certainly can't predict that something is or isn't going to happen medically, but by not doing it, you're pretty much tipping the scales towards him developing an ulcer in a deformed foot and the complications that go along with that. Q. Within a degree of medical certainty, is that what happened in this case? A. Yes. MR. FRANSEEN: I'll pass the witness. MS. ODUM: Do you have any follow-up? MS. MIDDLETON: Yeah, I do. MS. ODUM: Okay. EXAMINATION BY MS. MIDDLETON: Q. I heard you say on the 1st that the warden should when he received the request from Mr. Shipp that he should have sent it to the H.S.A.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. He noted: Go see medical. Q. Okay. So you're saying that was the wrong response? A. No, that's not the wrong response. The wrong response is to be aware of this problem in the first place and not be sure that you need to be sure that the procedure was that things were progressing, rather than everybody just saying, Oh my God, he's got a Charcot foot, we ought to do something about that. But apparently, nobody did. Q. So you understand that Mr. Arnold does not have any medical experience, correct? A. That's true. Q. So he is to second guess his medical staff? A. No, ma'am. MS. MIDDLETON: Michelle, that's all I have. MS. ODUM: I don't have any more. EXAMINATION BY MR. FRANSEEN: Q. In addition to telling Mr. Shipp to go to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	or provided the appropriate documentation that they required on the 1st, that these sores would not have formed? A. You certainly can't predict that something is or isn't going to happen medically, but by not doing it, you're pretty much tipping the scales towards him developing an ulcer in a deformed foot and the complications that go along with that. Q. Within a degree of medical certainty, is that what happened in this case? A. Yes. MR. FRANSEEN: I'll pass the witness. MS. ODUM: Do you have any follow-up? MS. MIDDLETON: Yeah, I do. MS. ODUM: Okay. EXAMINATION BY MS. MIDDLETON: Q. I heard you say on the 1st that the warden should when he received the request from Mr. Shipp that he should have sent it to the H.S.A. Is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. He noted: Go see medical. Q. Okay. So you're saying that was the wrong response? A. No, that's not the wrong response. The wrong response is to be aware of this problem in the first place and not be sure that you need to be sure that the procedure was that things were progressing, rather than everybody just saying, Oh my God, he's got a Charcot foot, we ought to do something about that. But apparently, nobody did. Q. So you understand that Mr. Arnold does not have any medical experience, correct? A. That's true. Q. So he is to second guess his medical staff? A. No, ma'am. MS. MIDDLETON: Michelle, that's all I have. MS. ODUM: I don't have any more. EXAMINATION BY MR. FRANSEEN: Q. In addition to telling Mr. Shipp to go to medical, should he have notified the H.S.A. on the 1st?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	or provided the appropriate documentation that they required on the 1st, that these sores would not have formed? A. You certainly can't predict that something is or isn't going to happen medically, but by not doing it, you're pretty much tipping the scales towards him developing an ulcer in a deformed foot and the complications that go along with that. Q. Within a degree of medical certainty, is that what happened in this case? A. Yes. MR. FRANSEEN: I'll pass the witness. MS. ODUM: Do you have any follow-up? MS. MIDDLETON: Yeah, I do. MS. ODUM: Okay. EXAMINATION BY MS. MIDDLETON: Q. I heard you say on the 1st that the warden should when he received the request from Mr. Shipp that he should have sent it to the H.S.A. Is that correct? A. On the 1st? Let me see.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. He noted: Go see medical. Q. Okay. So you're saying that was the wrong response? A. No, that's not the wrong response. The wrong response is to be aware of this problem in the first place and not be sure that you need to be sure that the procedure was that things were progressing, rather than everybody just saying, Oh my God, he's got a Charcot foot, we ought to do something about that. But apparently, nobody did. Q. So you understand that Mr. Arnold does not have any medical experience, correct? A. That's true. Q. So he is to second guess his medical staff? A. No, ma'am. MS. MIDDLETON: Michelle, that's all I have. MS. ODUM: I don't have any more. EXAMINATION BY MR. FRANSEEN: Q. In addition to telling Mr. Shipp to go to medical, should he have notified the H.S.A. on the 1st? A. I believe so.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	or provided the appropriate documentation that they required on the 1st, that these sores would not have formed? A. You certainly can't predict that something is or isn't going to happen medically, but by not doing it, you're pretty much tipping the scales towards him developing an ulcer in a deformed foot and the complications that go along with that. Q. Within a degree of medical certainty, is that what happened in this case? A. Yes. MR. FRANSEEN: I'll pass the witness. MS. ODUM: Do you have any follow-up? MS. MIDDLETON: Yeah, I do. MS. ODUM: Okay. EXAMINATION BY MS. MIDDLETON: Q. I heard you say on the 1st that the warden should when he received the request from Mr. Shipp that he should have sent it to the H.S.A. Is that correct? A. On the 1st? Let me see. Well, I don't know if I have it in here	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. He noted: Go see medical. Q. Okay. So you're saying that was the wrong response? A. No, that's not the wrong response. The wrong response is to be aware of this problem in the first place and not be sure that you need to be sure that the procedure was that things were progressing, rather than everybody just saying, Oh my God, he's got a Charcot foot, we ought to do something about that. But apparently, nobody did. Q. So you understand that Mr. Arnold does not have any medical experience, correct? A. That's true. Q. So he is to second guess his medical staff? A. No, ma'am. MS. MIDDLETON: Michelle, that's all I have. MS. ODUM: I don't have any more. EXAMINATION BY MR. FRANSEEN: Q. In addition to telling Mr. Shipp to go to medical, should he have notified the H.S.A. on the 1st? A. I believe so. Q. Because that's how ultimately, someone in
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	or provided the appropriate documentation that they required on the 1st, that these sores would not have formed? A. You certainly can't predict that something is or isn't going to happen medically, but by not doing it, you're pretty much tipping the scales towards him developing an ulcer in a deformed foot and the complications that go along with that. Q. Within a degree of medical certainty, is that what happened in this case? A. Yes. MR. FRANSEEN: I'll pass the witness. MS. ODUM: Do you have any follow-up? MS. MIDDLETON: Yeah, I do. MS. ODUM: Okay. EXAMINATION BY MS. MIDDLETON: Q. I heard you say on the 1st that the warden should when he received the request from Mr. Shipp that he should have sent it to the H.S.A. Is that correct? A. On the 1st? Let me see.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. He noted: Go see medical. Q. Okay. So you're saying that was the wrong response? A. No, that's not the wrong response. The wrong response is to be aware of this problem in the first place and not be sure that you need to be sure that the procedure was that things were progressing, rather than everybody just saying, Oh my God, he's got a Charcot foot, we ought to do something about that. But apparently, nobody did. Q. So you understand that Mr. Arnold does not have any medical experience, correct? A. That's true. Q. So he is to second guess his medical staff? A. No, ma'am. MS. MIDDLETON: Michelle, that's all I have. MS. ODUM: I don't have any more. EXAMINATION BY MR. FRANSEEN: Q. In addition to telling Mr. Shipp to go to medical, should he have notified the H.S.A. on the 1st? A. I believe so.